

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Trip Report, Recreation Management Support Program (RMSP) Fall Meeting

1. The subject meeting was held on 18-20 October 1999 at the J. Percy Priest Project Office, Nashville, TN. An agenda and participant list is attached as enclosure 1. The primary purpose of the meeting was to develop recommended actions that can be pursued by a) CECW-ON staff regarding the operational aspects of the CE recreation program and b) Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) staff regarding information aspects of the CE recreation program.
2. The RMSP leadership team identified 30 high priority issues (i.e. challenges and opportunities) that will affect the CE recreation program in the next five years. The team then rated each issues (i.e. high, medium, low) The team then was divided into four work groups which independently developed a set of recommended actions to address each of the identified issues. Summary results of this process are attached as enclosure 2.
3. Much discussion revolved around tasks required to effectively implement the proposed CE recreation modernization program. Many other issues were also identified and strategies developed. It was agreed that Mr. Wahus, Mr. Peek and I will review the recommended actions developed by the team and prepares a draft plan for addressing the issues and provided it to the leadership team by 19 November 1999. Team members will provide comments on the plan by 3 December 1999. The plan will then be revised in response to the comments. A briefing for HQ leadership on current and proposed RMSP activities will be prepared and scheduled for January 2000.
4. Principal investigators presented the status of current RMSP work units. Additional discussions were held on the future direction of the Recreation Trends and Recreation Infrastructure Work Units. I presented the overall status of the technical aspect of the program. Mr. Wahus indicated that FY00 funding was currently targeted at \$500k. He also indicated that there was a good possibility to reprogram funds later in the FY to get closer to the \$1 million budget target. However, this may not occur for several months. I stressed the impact of budget uncertainties on program execution.
The spring meeting was tentatively planned for 11-13 April 2000 in Washington D.C.

5. A debriefing on the meeting was held immediately following the meeting with Mr. Wahus, Mr. Peek and ERDC staff. All were satisfied that the goals of the meeting were met or exceeded.

R. Scott Jackson
Research Biologist