

## Responses to Question 58

Unedited individual responses to the question "Briefly indicate any emerging unresolved concerns / problems / issues (up to 3) that you believe may begin to affect your recreation program WITHIN THE NEXT 10 YEARS" (Question 58).

### Condition or Adequacy of Recreation Facilities

| Division | District    | Comment  |
|----------|-------------|--|
| LRD      | HUNTINGTON  | Outdated facilities  |
| LRD      | HUNTINGTON  | Infrastructure failures.   |
| LRD      | HUNTINGTON  | Closure of areas due to lack of funding and customer desire for improved facilities.   |
| LRD      | HUNTINGTON  | Need for modernization of facilities   |
| LRD      | HUNTINGTON  | Aging facilities   |
| LRD      | LOUISVILLE  | Money for modernization.   |
| LRD      | LOUISVILLE  | Rehab of existing recreation sites.  |
| LRD      | LOUISVILLE  | Deteriorating rec site and area.   |
| LRD      | LOUISVILLE  | Upgrading Facilities   |
| LRD      | LOUISVILLE  | Increasing cost at contracts, contract regs. make it almost impossible to purchase or do work. Wage rates contributing to increased costs.   |
| LRD      | LOUISVILLE  | Aging facilities will begin to severely begin to deteriorate. Recent emphasis on improvements is helping but major.  |
| LRD      | NASHVILLE   | Deteriorations of Recreational Facilities  |
| LRD      | NASHVILLE   | A failed infrastructure  |
| LRD      | NASHVILLE   | Aging infrastructure   |
| LRD      | PITTSBURGH  | Facility Replacement   |
| MVD      | ROCK ISLAND | Aging Facilities. We are able to keep up with maintenance at this time, but major rehabs are going to be required. Most buildings and utilities are 20 or more years old. If the ramp program dies and nothing replaces it we are going to be in big trouble |
| MVD      | ST. LOUIS   | Facility deterioration due to age.   |
| MVD      | ST. LOUIS   | Replacing/repairing 1 above.   |
| MVD      | ST. LOUIS   | Aging infrastructure   |
| MVD      | ST. LOUIS   | Failure of facilities from lack of funding.  |
| MVD      | ST. PAUL    | 1st and only one is not keeping up with public demand for change, new faculties, improved campsites, improved electric, etc...   |
| MVD      | VICKSBURG   | Unsafe facilities  |
| MVD      | VICKSBURG   | Backlog maintenance to facilities and overall area.  |
| MVD      | VICKSBURG   | Day Use Modernization: Parking is inadequate; traffic flow is hampered.  |
| MVD      | VICKSBURG   | Rehab of Recreation Areas.   |
| MVD      | VICKSBURG   | Increasing size of the RV and the demands of the visitors for more luxury sites.   |
| NAD      | NEW ENGLAND | Funding of Rec. modernization.   |
| NAD      | NEW ENGLAND | Funding  |
| NAD      | NEW ENGLAND | Old facilities.  |
| NWD      | KANSAS CITY | Lack of funds to repair or upgrade our old facilities.   |
| NWD      | KANSAS CITY | Condition of infrastructure and facilities.  |
| NWD      | KANSAS CITY | Deteriorating infrastructure   |

|     |               |   |
|-----|---------------|---|
| NWD | OMAHA         | Facilities are aging.   |
| NWD | OMAHA         | Outdated campgrounds/rec. facilities  |
| NWD | PORTLAND      | Facilities not being updated with increased usage.  |
| NWD | SEATTLE       | Age and condition of facilities.  |
| NWD | WALLA WALLA   | Outdated and underdeveloped facilities will not be able to handle the visitor load                              |
| NWD | WALLA WALLA   | serious need to upgrade existing facilities.  |
| SAD | MOBILE        | facilities need replacement.  |
| SAD | MOBILE        | Aging facilities / backlog maintenance  |
| SAD | MOBILE        | Recreation facility maintenance backlog.  |
| SAD | SAVANNAH      | Facility maintenance and upgrades.  |
| SAD | SAVANNAH      | Infrastructure decline  |
| SAD | WILMINGTON    | Deteriorating facilities, funding shortfalls, declining water quality in lakes.                                 |
| SAD | WILMINGTON    | Lack of funding to modernize and repair existing recreation facilities.   |
| SPD | ALBUQUERQUE   | Funding for replacement of facilities: restrooms, shower buildings  |
| SPD | ALBUQUERQUE   | Funding for infrastructure replacement: electrical, water, sewer  |
| SPD | ALBUQUERQUE   | Aging structures.   |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | Funding for deferred maintenance  |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | Deteriorating facilities.   |
| SPD | SAN FRANCISCO | Condition of facilities   |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Further deterioration of facilities and infrastructure.   |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Funding.  |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Upgrading facilities to meet today's recreational vehicle demands (size and utility demand)                     |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Need for modernization of facilities  |
| SWD | GALVESTON     | Insufficient rec. facilities at a new project (Wallisville)   |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | New Interstate going through our area and the need for upgraded parks nearby                                    |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | reduced visitors due to run down facilities and contracted out of work  |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | A major rehab expense due to lack of preventative ORM for so many years.  |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Aging Facilities  |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Outdated facilities   |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Funding levels/inadequate   |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Facilities are being "loved to death". Major rehab id needed to effect repairs.                                 |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Areas need to modernize to reflect changes in equipment trends-separate day use camping.                        |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Facilities, utilities and related park equipment designed and in place to accommodate modern camping equipment. |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Deteriorating infrastructure.   |
| SWD | TULSA         | Changes in types of camping vehicles and demand for different types of facilities                               |
| SWD | TULSA         | Unsafe facilities that don't meet modern design standards   |
| SWD | TULSA         | Deterioration of facilities.  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Failing infrastructure  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Facility modernization  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Worn out roads and visitor facilities   |

|   |                 |  |
|---|-----------------|--|
| SWD   | TULSA           | aging facilities   |
| SWD   | TULSA           | Will Park Modernization become a reality or will our infrastructure continue to decline?     |
|   |                 |  |
| <b>Visitation Level / Carrying Capacity</b> |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                             | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD   | HUNTINGTON      | Overcrowding   |
| LRD   | HUNTINGTON      | Increased visitor pressure   |
| LRD   | LOUISVILLE      | overcrowding, demand for recreation facilities   |
| LRD   | LOUISVILLE      | Increasing visitation.   |
| LRD   | NASHVILLE       | Carrying Capacity information  |
| LRD   | NASHVILLE       | Over crowding of existing facilities.  |
| LRD   | NASHVILLE       | Recreation area overuse/overcrowding carrying capacities being overlooked                    |
| LRD   | NASHVILLE       | Overuse/overcrowding of the lake and recreation facilities.                                  |
| LRD   | PITTSBURGH      | Demand will outweigh supply of recreation facilities/opportunities                           |
| LRD   | PITTSBURGH      | Over use of the project facilities.  |
| MVD   | NEW ORLEANS     | Reaching carrying capacity of hunters  |
| MVD   | NEW ORLEANS     | Reaching carrying capacity of boating  |
| MVD   | NEW ORLEANS     | Reaching carrying capacity of ATV use  |
| MVD   | ST. PAUL        | Increased demand for day use facilities.   |
| MVD   | ST. PAUL        | Over crowding at recreation areas.   |
| MVD   | ST. PAUL        | Visitation well past carrying capacities of some rec areas.'                                 |
| MVD   | ST. PAUL        | Overuse  |
| MVD   | VICKSBURG       | Carrying capacities.   |
| NAD   | NEW ENGLAND     | Language barrier   |
| NAD   | NEW ENGLAND     | Increase use of area by walk ins.  |
| NAD   | NEW ENGLAND     | Carrying capacity of recreational facilities   |
| NAD   | NEW ENGLAND     | Exceeding rec area carrying capacity   |
| NWD   | OMAHA           | Lewis and Clark Bicentennial will great increase visitation to already inadequate facilities |
| NWD   | OMAHA           | Increasing visitor demands for modern facilities   |
| NWD   | OMAHA           | Increased visitation   |
| NWD   | OMAHA           | Not enough rec. facilities   |
| NWD   | PORTLAND        | Too many visitors wanting to use the resources.  |
| NWD   | PORTLAND        | Increased visitation from Lewis and Clark Trail Tracers.                                     |
| NWD   | PORTLAND        | Increased visitation from expanding Northwest population                                     |
| NWD   | SEATTLE         | Lack of adequate facilities to meet demand.  |
| NWD   | WALLA WALLA     | Increased demands for additional facilities  |
| SAD   | JACKSONVILLE    | Demand to provide additional facilities due to increasing populations.                       |
| SAD   | JACKSONVILLE    | Increased demand - private use of public lands due to increased development.                 |
| SAD   | MOBILE          | Increasing population in the area will overwhelm our facilities.                             |
| SAD   | MOBILE          | Increasing visitation w/o increasing recreation facilities.                                  |
| SAD   | SAVANNAH        | Saturation levels or carrying capacities.  |
| SAD   | SAVANNAH        | Increasing visitation  |
| SAD   | WILMINGTON      | Boater carrying capacity   |
| SAD   | WILMINGTON      | Visitations and growth trends throughout the Southeastern US.                                |

|                                |                 |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| SPD                            | SACRAMENTO      | Lack of adequate facilities to meet increased recreation demand  |
| SWD                            | FORT WORTH      | Overuse of certain areas   |
| SWD                            | FORT WORTH      | Increasing boat traffic (congestion)   |
| SWD                            | FORT WORTH      | Over demand for limited facilities. We have plenty of land but few facilities.   |
| SWD                            | LITTLE ROCK     | Over-crowded Parks - maintenance backlog   |
| SWD                            | LITTLE ROCK     | Demands on the facilities  |
| SWD                            | LITTLE ROCK     | Visitation continues to increase. We do not have sufficient facilities to keep up with demand.                           |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Need for more campsites  |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Overcrowding   |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Crowded water areas  |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Lack of access and facilities  |
|                                |                 |  |
| <b>Specific Facility Needs</b> |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD                            | HUNTINGTON      | Embellish/ Replace Public Restroom.  |
| LRD                            | HUNTINGTON      | Need to replace foot bridges   |
| LRD                            | LOUISVILLE      | The need to increase the number and variety of multi-use trails at each recreation site.                                 |
| LRD                            | PITTSBURGH      | Lack of shower facility for campground.  |
| LRD                            | PITTSBURGH      | Lack of expanded boat parking lot and boat launching ramp.   |
| MVD                            | ROCK ISLAND     | Lack of Parking  |
| MVD                            | ST. LOUIS       | Providing an adequate number of full hook-up (water/sewer/electric) campsites.   |
| MVD                            | VICKSBURG       | Day Use Modernization: Parking is inadequate; traffic flow is hampered.  |
| NAD                            | NEW ENGLAND     | development of beaches.  |
| NAD                            | NEW ENGLAND     | Limited parking.   |
| NWD                            | PORTLAND        | Insufficient/deteriorating facilities.   |
| NWD                            | WALLA WALLA     | Lack of camping facilities.  |
| SAD                            | MOBILE          | Increasing size of RVs demanding larger campsites, better roads, more amps   |
| SWD                            | FORT WORTH      | Longer level camper pads   |
| SWD                            | FORT WORTH      | Need for 50 AMP electrical service   |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Lack of 50amp pedestals.   |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Severe lack of parking facilities.   |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Immediate need to upgrade electrical service to campsites to include 5CAMP service.                                      |
| SWD                            | TULSA           | Need for larger unit capability  |
|                                |                 |  |
| <b>Condition of Roads</b>      |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD                            | HUNTINGTON      | Increase width of Rt. 23 entrance and increase available parking spaces.   |
| LRD                            | LOUISVILLE      | Parking lots and roads.  |
| MVD                            | ROCK ISLAND     | Roads. We have roads that are 20 years old. We can't keep up with patching much less repairing. They won't last forever. |
| SWD                            | LITTLE ROCK     | Failing roads and facilities will cause parks to close and vandalism to increase.  |

|                                     |                 |   |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| SWD                                 | TULSA           | Accelerated deterioration of roads.   |
| SWD                                 | TULSA           | Worn out roads and visitor facilities   |
|                                     |                 |   |
| <b>Lewis and Clark Bicentennial</b> |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                     | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Lewis and Clark Bicentennial will great increase visitation to already inadequate facilities  |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Upcoming Lewis and Clark Bi-Centennial Celebration. Expected large increase in visitation. Concerns about Adequate facilities and safety of visitors. |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Lewis and Clark Bicentennial  |
| NWD                                 | PORTLAND        | The Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration  |
| NWD                                 | PORTLAND        | Increased visitation from Lewis and Clark Trail Tracers.  |
|                                     |                 |   |
| <b>Funding Issues</b>               |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                     | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| LRD                                 | HUNTINGTON      | Limited resources   |
| LRD                                 | HUNTINGTON      | Continued funding shortage could cause public safety problems, shortage of facilities.  |
| LRD                                 | HUNTINGTON      | Inadequate funding and staffing.  |
| LRD                                 | HUNTINGTON      | Declining recreation funded budgets   |
| LRD                                 | NASHVILLE       | Consistent funding.   |
| LRD                                 | PITTSBURGH      | Funding   |
| LRD                                 | PITTSBURGH      | Lack of ranger personnel to provide visitor assistance due to downsizing.   |
| MVD                                 | ROCK ISLAND     | Insufficient funding.   |
| MVD                                 | ROCK ISLAND     | Funding   |
| MVD                                 | ST. PAUL        | Budgets   |
| MVD                                 | VICKSBURG       | Flat budget allocations with increasing overhead, management mandates   |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Priority of recreational development and funding  |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Funding   |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Funding.  |
| NWD                                 | KANSAS CITY     | Lack of funds to repair or upgrade our old facilities.  |
| NWD                                 | KANSAS CITY     | Money   |
| NWD                                 | KANSAS CITY     | Cost of contracting services.   |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Funding for the recreation area modernization program is needed to improve recreation facilities.   |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Lack of funding   |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Lack of Federal funding for cost sharing.   |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | Budgets are decreasing and workloads.   |
| NWD                                 | OMAHA           | "Doing more with less"  |
| NWD                                 | SEATTLE         | Funding   |
| NWD                                 | WALLA WALLA     | unfunded mandates   |
| SAD                                 | MOBILE          | Budget concerns   |
| SAD                                 | MOBILE          | need greater amount of O & M funds.   |
| SAD                                 | MOBILE          | Maintenance/funding- reservoir and facilities   |
| SAD                                 | SAVANNAH        | funding   |
| SAD                                 | SAVANNAH        | Funding / staffing.   |
| SPD                                 | ALBUQUERQUE     | Funding for infrastructure replacement: electrical, water, sewer  |

|                        |                 |  |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| SPD                    | ALBUQUERQUE     | Shrinking dollars.   |
| SPD                    | SACRAMENTO      | Funding for deferred maintenance   |
| SWD                    | FORT WORTH      | Maintenance costs.   |
| SWD                    | FORT WORTH      | Continue to provide quality recreation experience in light of constant downsizing and constant new constraints   |
| SWD                    | FORT WORTH      | Funding...more and more \$\$ is going to cover rising costs of utilities labor and technology. Less available for maintenance and upgrade of facilities.                           |
| SWD                    | LITTLE ROCK     | Money  |
| SWD                    | LITTLE ROCK     | Insufficient O&M budget  |
| SWD                    | LITTLE ROCK     | Funding  |
| SWD                    | TULSA           | Lack of funds.   |
| SWD                    | TULSA           | Funding.   |
| SWD                    | TULSA           | Cost of maintenance and upkeep   |
| SWD                    | TULSA           | Lack of staffing for contract funds  |
| SWD                    | TULSA           | Budget   |
|                        |                 |  |
| <b>Staffing Issues</b> |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>        | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD                    | HUNTINGTON      | FTE cuts affecting ability to give service to external customers   |
| LRD                    | HUNTINGTON      | Continuing FTE cuts affecting all aspects of O&M recreation areas and facilities   |
| LRD                    | HUNTINGTON      | Increasing administrative workload and insufficient staff and budget.  |
| LRD                    | HUNTINGTON      | Lack of quality employees entering gov't workforce.  |
| LRD                    | HUNTINGTON      | Inadequate funding and staffing.   |
| LRD                    | LOUISVILLE      | The continued increase of reports, requirements, centrally driven programs.  |
| LRD                    | LOUISVILLE      | Loss of hired labor, doing more with less.   |
| LRD                    | LOUISVILLE      | Inadequate personnel #'s   |
| LRD                    | NASHVILLE       | Lack of staff will reduce services.  |
| LRD                    | PITTSBURGH      | Aging workforce with limited opportunity to train for vacancies.   |
| LRD                    | PITTSBURGH      | Decreasing staff as visitation and administration details increase.  |
| LRD                    | PITTSBURGH      | Brain Drain- expertise on recreation/resources drops due to program emphasis.  |
| MVD                    | ROCK ISLAND     | Aging of staff and loss of many experienced people to retirement. Recruitment of new people often limited by regulations that make it difficult to hire the best people available. |
| MVD                    | VICKSBURG       | Insufficient personnel   |
| NAD                    | BALTIMORE       | Maintaining an educated and up to date workforce.  |
| NAD                    | NEW ENGLAND     | Workforce professionalism  |
| NAD                    | NEW ENGLAND     | Summer hires   |
| NAD                    | NEW ENGLAND     | Lack of staff diversity.   |
| NWD                    | KANSAS CITY     | All the new reporting requirements and computer programs are taking more and more of my staff's time   |
| NWD                    | KANSAS CITY     | Manpower.  |
| NWD                    | KANSAS CITY     | Support.   |
| NWD                    | KANSAS CITY     | Loss of corporate knowledge through retirement with no younger trained replacements.   |
| NWD                    | KANSAS CITY     | Trained staff  |

|     |               |  |
|-----|---------------|--|
| NWD | SEATTLE       | Brain drain exiting workforce is near retirement in NRM field  |
| NWD | SEATTLE       | Keeping young people interested in careers within parks and recreation management. Seems lately few young people stay in profession more than 5 years. We train them and they're gone!!              |
| NWD | SEATTLE       | Inability to hire, retain, and reward high quality NRM personnel.  |
| NWD | WALLA WALLA   | Increasing administrative burden on personnel takes them away from recreation  |
| NWD | WALLA WALLA   | Inadequate staffing  |
| SAD | MOBILE        | Retaining qualified personnel to properly and adequately oversee and manage  |
| SAD | MOBILE        | Need larger C.O.E. staffing.   |
| SAD | MOBILE        | Loss of long term experienced personnel through retirement.  |
| SAD | SAVANNAH      | Funding / staffing.  |
| SPD | SAN FRANCISCO | Staffing   |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Staffing.  |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Staffing....many of our park rangers and rec. specialists will be leaving in mass in about 10 years. There will be a big gap in experience when they go out the door. Need to find some young blood. |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Manpower and or contractors for fee collection   |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Insufficient manpower to provide adequate visitor assistance.  |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Increasing work load-NRRS  |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Recruitment and retention of top quality recreation resource managers.   |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Paper Work Programs  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Lack of ranger personnel.  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Insufficient personnel (loss of institution knowledge)   |
| SWD | TULSA         | Aging workforce  |
| SWD | TULSA         | adequate work force  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Loss of O&M personnel. We have gone to far in the contracting arena  |
|     |               |  |

**User-Group Conflicts**

| <b>Division</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| LRD             | HUNTINGTON      | Competing recreational uses   |
| LRD             | LOUISVILLE      | Conflicts among user groups competing for lands, facilities and attention.  |
| LRD             | LOUISVILLE      | Conflicting uses i.e. boating, vs. fishing off road vehicles vs. everyone   |
| MVD             | ROCK ISLAND     | Constant demand by special interest group for their share of the pie. We have a 20-year-old master plan that is out of date to deal with the request for activities not even dreamed of 25 years ago. |
| MVD             | ST. LOUIS       | Conflicts between user groups in the lake area as well as water supply demand.  |
| MVD             | ST. PAUL        | Water surface use conflicts.  |
| NAD             | NEW ENGLAND     | Conflicts between non-compatible user groups.   |
| NAD             | NEW ENGLAND     | Hunting vs. other uses  |
| NWD             | WALLA WALLA     | Conflicting use between user groups   |
| SAD             | MOBILE          | Population/passive recreation conflicts   |
| SAD             | SAVANNAH        | Public vs. private recreation (shoreline management permits)  |
| SAD             | WILMINGTON      | Shoreline permit pressures.   |

|  |                 |  |
|--|-----------------|--|
| SWD  | FORT WORTH      | Various user conflicts   |
| SWD  | LITTLE ROCK     | User conflict between Anglos and Hispanics.  |
|  |                 |  |
| <b>Changing Customers and Customer Needs</b> |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                              | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD  | LOUISVILLE      | Changing demographics  |
| LRD  | LOUISVILLE      | Increase in retired senior population  |
| LRD  | LOUISVILLE      | More single parent families and children from broken homes.  |
| LRD  | NASHVILLE       | Adjusting the changing needs of the recreating public and adapting to a more diverse group of visitors.                          |
| LRD  | PITTSBURGH      | More demand on leisure time for quality experiences by our users.  |
| LRD  | PITTSBURGH      | Focus of our programs might miss the target of demands, adjusting  |
| LRD  | PITTSBURGH      | Increased resource demands by greater retirement population.   |
| MVD  | ROCK ISLAND     | Changing demographics  |
| MVD  | ST. LOUIS       | Meeting the recreational needs of emerging non-traditional user groups.  |
| MVD  | ST. LOUIS       | Meeting the increased and changing customer demands.   |
| MVD  | ST. LOUIS       | Meeting changing trends in recreational use.   |
| MVD  | ST. LOUIS       | Baby boomers retirement  |
| MVD  | ST. PAUL        | Increasing age of visitors.  |
| MVD  | VICKSBURG       | Language Barrier: Again, I feel that we need to develop a better means of  |
| MVD  | VICKSBURG       | Changing user demographics.  |
| NAD  | NEW ENGLAND     | safely accommodate new emerging recreational activity  |
| NWD  | KANSAS CITY     | Changing demographics of the recreating public and our ability to accommodate  |
| NWD  | KANSAS CITY     | Dealing with diverse visitation  |
| NWD  | PORTLAND        | Under represented user groups.   |
| NWD  | WALLA WALLA     | Need for bilingual field staff (Spanish-English)   |
| SAD  | MOBILE          | Demographic changes and cultural issues  |
| SAD  | WILMINGTON      | Increasing demand by older visitors for accessible facilities and expectation of quality interpretive educational entertainment. |
| SAD  | WILMINGTON      | Lack of connection to outdoor recreation among youth and immigrant populations.  |
| SAD  | WILMINGTON      | Providing for continually growing non-white ethnic population within the recreation setting.                                     |
| SPD  | SACRAMENTO      | Aging customers  |
| SWD  | LITTLE ROCK     | Day-use facilities and park area designs to meet the expectations of various minority and majority population groups.            |
|  |                 |  |
| <b>ADA Issues</b>                            |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                              | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD  | HUNTINGTON      | Safe Fishing Platform along west bank of tail waters fishing area. ADA compliant.  |
| LRD  | LOUISVILLE      | Accessibility issues   |
| LRD  | LOUISVILLE      | ADA accessibility  |
| MVD  | ROCK ISLAND     | ADA Compliance   |
| MVD  | ROCK ISLAND     | Universal access to all facilities   |
| NWD  | KANSAS CITY     | Lack of adequate Handicap accessible facilities  |

|   |                 |   |
|---|-----------------|---|
| NWD   | KANSAS CITY     | ADA issues'   |
| SAD   | WILMINGTON      | ADA issues.   |
| SPD   | SAN FRANCISCO   | Access to all areas by those disabled.  |
|   |                 |   |
| <b>Visitor / Ranger Safety</b>                        |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                                       | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| LRD   | DETROIT         | Increased threat of bodily injury in disputes between visitors.   |
| LRD   | HUNTINGTON      | Public, especially juveniles carrying weapons- threat to ranger safety  |
| LRD   | NASHVILLE       | Violence and drugs  |
| NWD   | WALLA WALLA     | Increased rec. site security requirements   |
| SWD   | FORT WORTH      | Water safety  |
| SWD   | FORT WORTH      | Security  |
| SWD   | FORT WORTH      | Visitor safety  |
| SWD   | FORT WORTH      | Ranger safety   |
| SWD   | LITTLE ROCK     | Lack of law enforcement authority of rangers; death toll of rangers will rise.  |
| SWD   | TULSA           | Ranger safety.  |
| SWD   | TULSA           | Public safety   |
|   |                 |   |
| <b>National Recreation Reservation Service (NRRS)</b> |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                                       | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| SWD   | TULSA           | Complications with NRRS including, complicated hardware/software and lack of knowledgeable contractor base to recruit from. |
|   |                 |   |
| <b>Customer Service Issues</b>                        |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                                       | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| LRD   | HUNTINGTON      | Falling farther behind in meeting the expectations of an increasingly sophisticated and demanding customer base.            |
| MVD   | VICKSBURG       | Inability to meet visitor demands   |
| SAD   | MOBILE          | Greater expectations from customer.   |
| SPD   | SACRAMENTO      | Inability to meet customers needs.  |
| SWD   | LITTLE ROCK     | Apparent lack of good customer service- not addressing visitor's requests   |
| SWD   | TULSA           | Inability to meet visitor demands   |
|   |                 |   |
| <b>Water Allocation / Supply / Management</b>         |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                                       | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| LRD   | HUNTINGTON      | Water supply needs will continue to reduce lake levels and conflict with rec. users.  |
| LRD   | LOUISVILLE      | demand for water resources for public consumption, balanced with recreation use   |
| LRD   | LOUISVILLE      | Conflicts between, recreation, flood control, and water supply users.   |
| MVD   | ST. LOUIS       | Conflicts between user groups in the lake area as well as.  |
| MVD   | ST. LOUIS       | water supply demand   |
| NWD   | KANSAS CITY     | Management of water resources in the lake their competing uses for  |
| NWD   | KANSAS CITY     | Demands on scarce water.  |

|                                     |                 |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| SAD                                 | MOBILE          | Pressure from State and local governments to change the way we manage our projects. Their wishes are in some cases contrary to authorized purposes and could affect water levels during the recreational season.                       |
| SPD                                 | SAN FRANCISCO   | Availability of water  |
| SWD                                 | FORT WORTH      | demand for reservoir water which may reduce the conservation pool level, thus affecting all developed facilities   |
| SWD                                 | LITTLE ROCK     | Water management plan  |
| SWD                                 | TULSA           | Depth of Lake will effect boating.   |
|                                     |                 |  |
| <b>Urban / Boundary Development</b> |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                     | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD                                 | HUNTINGTON      | Threats to commercialize beyond the project's capacity   |
| LRD                                 | LOUISVILLE      | Increased trespasses and encroachments from adjacent landowners.   |
| LRD                                 | NASHVILLE       | Protecting land and water from adverse effects of urbanization around the project (i.e. run-off from storm drains rather than having any open land go a buffer when area land had some rural character.                                |
| LRD                                 | NASHVILLE       | Private development  |
| LRD                                 | PITTSBURGH      | Increased economic and land development adjacent to Corps facilities. This increases thru usage of facilities and creates carrying capacity problems.  |
| LRD                                 | PITTSBURGH      | Development/nuisances  |
| MVD                                 | ROCK ISLAND     | Encroaching private developments around boundary that place new demands on use of the land or their desire to limit public use of the public lands.  |
| MVD                                 | ST. LOUIS       | Private development.   |
| MVD                                 | VICKSBURG       | Regional Growth: We are located in the fastest growing portion of Mississippi.   |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Spreading urban sprawl   |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Suburban sprawl  |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | loss of vegetative buffers   |
| SAD                                 | MOBILE          | Population growth and development of areas adjacent to the project   |
| SAD                                 | MOBILE          | Water quality degradation from excessive development the watershed.  |
| SPD                                 | SACRAMENTO      | Population growth  |
| SWD                                 | FORT WORTH      | We are coming to be the only undeveloped area for people to come. A green zone per say. One of the few in a metropolitan area.   |
| SWD                                 | FORT WORTH      | Now that the lake is being used by others for cities around it, I see no let up on demand for water. Growth is already high and moving toward the lake now and surrounding it. Population growth is exploding...over 1,000,000 people+ |
|                                     |                 |  |
| <b>Water Quality</b>                |                 |  |
| <b>Division</b>                     | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>   |
| LRD                                 | NASHVILLE       | Water Quality  |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Water quality deterioration  |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | River water quality  |
| NAD                                 | NEW ENGLAND     | Safe water to swim (water quality)   |

|   |                 |   |
|---|-----------------|---|
| SAD                                       | MOBILE          | Water quality degradation from excessive development the watershed.                                       |
| SAD                                       | SAVANNAH        | water quality   |
| SPD                                       | SAN FRANCISCO   | Water pollution by 2 cycle engines.   |
| SWD                                       | LITTLE ROCK     | Water quality   |
| SWD                                       | LITTLE ROCK     | Deteriorating lake water quality.   |
| SWD                                       | TULSA           | Water quality   |
|   |                 |   |
| <b>Miscellaneous Environmental Issues</b> |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                           | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | Lake shore erosion. Adds to siltation.  |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | Lake siltation. Effects lake use by boaters.  |
| LRD                                       | LOUISVILLE      | Over development of shoreline   |
| LRD                                       | LOUISVILLE      | Most projects do not have a complete environmental inventory to identify significant and unique habitats. |
| LRD                                       | LOUISVILLE      | Environmental degradation due to increased demand   |
| LRD                                       | NASHVILLE       | Leasing of Government land for the exploration of oil   |
| LRD                                       | NASHVILLE       | Protecting/Conserving Natural Resources from overuse  |
| LRD                                       | NASHVILLE       | Invasive plants and non-native animals that are destroying natural fisheries and hunting opportunities.   |
| LRD                                       | NASHVILLE       | Conflict of users on a limited fragile resource.  |
| MVD                                       | VICKSBURG       | Overuse of resources  |
| NAD                                       | NEW ENGLAND     | Conflict between recreation and environmental preservation.   |
| NWD                                       | KANSAS CITY     | Management of the project for Federally threatened and endangered species                                 |
| NWD                                       | KANSAS CITY     | Environmental extremists.   |
| NWD                                       | PORTLAND        | Protection of sensitive areas.  |
| NWD                                       | WALLA WALLA     | public access vs. land management for endangered species  |
| NWD                                       | WALLA WALLA     | Increasing regulatory issues  |
| SPD                                       | SACRAMENTO      | Existence of endangered species on project may significantly hamper                                       |
| SPD                                       | SACRAMENTO      | Resource depletion  |
| SPD                                       | SACRAMENTO      | ESA impacts on project.   |
| SPD                                       | SAN FRANCISCO   | Fishing of Federal claims by injured parties  |
| SWD                                       | LITTLE ROCK     | Wildlife problems- increasing numbers of alligators on public recreation areas                            |
| SWD                                       | LITTLE ROCK     | Fishery?  |
| SWD                                       | TULSA           | Environmental laws  |
|   |                 |   |
| <b>Miscellaneous Responses</b>            |                 |   |
| <b>Division</b>                           | <b>District</b> | <b>Comment</b>  |
| LRD                                       | DETROIT         | N/A   |
| LRD                                       | DETROIT         | Increase in theft among visitors.   |
| LRD                                       | DETROIT         | Increase in petty vandalism   |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | Same as question 57   |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | See attachment  |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | amen as all the above   |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | See above responses.  |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | Construction of 4-lane across project.  |
| LRD                                       | HUNTINGTON      | Operation/Management of rec areas will be done by State agency  |

|     |             |  |
|-----|-------------|--|
|     |             | beginning in 2001.   |
| LRD | HUNTINGTON  | Continues inadequate Agency emphasis on recreation role.   |
| LRD | HUNTINGTON  | No recreation which is Corps of engineers.   |
| LRD | LOUISVILLE  | Rising costs of user fees  |
| LRD | LOUISVILLE  | Same as above.   |
| LRD | LOUISVILLE  | Same as above.   |
| LRD | LOUISVILLE  | Same as above.   |
| LRD | LOUISVILLE  | Increase in visitation if a recession forces more people to utilize low cost recreation sources, parks and beaches etc.            |
| LRD | NASHVILLE   | Expanding development by Concessionaries   |
| LRD | NASHVILLE   | Continued climate change will result in destruction of resources that will severely limit recreation opportunities.                |
| LRD | NASHVILLE   | ORV's and jet skis   |
| LRD | PITTSBURGH  | All are the same as above.   |
| MVD | ROCK ISLAND | Slow contracting process and lack of competition for service contracts.  |
| MVD | ST. LOUIS   | Same as above.   |
| MVD | ST. LOUIS   | Same as above.   |
| MVD | ST. LOUIS   | Same as above.   |
| MVD | VICKSBURG   | Lack of developed land   |
| MVD | VICKSBURG   | Political involvement and directives that systematically alienates individual.   |
| NAD | BALTIMORE   | Same as above.   |
| NAD | BALTIMORE   | Same as above.   |
| NAD | BALTIMORE   | Same as above.   |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | Same as above  |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | None.  |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | Swimming.  |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | Mountain biking.   |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | Increased vandalism, littering and dumping   |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | None.  |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | None.  |
| NAD | NEW ENGLAND | None.  |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | same as Q%&  |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | See comments above.  |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | See comments above.  |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | See comments above.  |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | If no action is taken to correct items listed in the 5-year frame then they will be critical issues to resolve in this time frame. |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | Access to Lakes over local road systems.   |
| NWD | KANSAS CITY | Computer programs  |
| NWD | OMAHA       | If the state of SD takes over our lands the recreation program will just about be eliminated at this project.                      |
| NWD | OMAHA       | Same as above.   |
| NWD | PORTLAND    | Poor Corps citation program and lack of law enforcement personnel.   |
| NWD | PORTLAND    | Increased drug and alcohol use.  |
| NWD | PORTLAND    | Partnering with other federal, state, and local entities.  |
| NWD | SEATTLE     | Demographic c needs  |
| NWD | SEATTLE     | Conflicting agency missions  |

|     |               |   |
|-----|---------------|---|
| SAD | JACKSONVILLE  | Cyclic swing to get the Corps out of recreation business.   |
| SAD | MOBILE        | Boating trends (increase pwc use)   |
| SAD | SAVANNAH      | Jet skis  |
| SAD | WILMINGTON    | Economy projections for the local area  |
| SPD | ALBUQUERQUE   | Loss of Corps of Engineer Image because we do not readily provide information   |
| SPD | ALBUQUERQUE   | None.   |
| SPD | ALBUQUERQUE   | Relinquishment of recreation program to state entities.   |
| SPD | LOS ANGELES   | Insufficient recreation   |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | same  |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | same  |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | same  |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | Not being able to deal with #1 & #2 in Q #5   |
| SPD | SACRAMENTO    | Keeping up with current rec. trends and population  |
| SPD | SAN FRANCISCO | Find willing partners for cost sharing of programs, exhibits  |
| SPD | SAN FRANCISCO | Developing innovative programs with local community groups within the confines of   |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Same as above.  |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Same as above.  |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Same as above.  |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Need for greater latitude in local marketing opportunities.   |
| SWD | FORT WORTH    | Creative management needed to accommodate high visitation during peak recreation periods.   |
| SWD | LITTLE ROCK   | Golden Age Passport users make up 60+ of our campers. Fee collections that generate funds that can be used in parks are about 1/2 of what they could be.  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Same as above.  |
| SWD | TULSA         | Same as Q57   |
| SWD | TULSA         | We must recognize that the best way to market the Corps of Engineers is to show our customers that when we have responsibility for something we take care of it. We can't just be about plan, design, and build at the expense of O&M |